

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

18 February 2010

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BOARD

THRU: Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight)

SUBJECT: (U/FOUO) Report to the Intelligence Oversight Board on NSA Activities - INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U//FOUO) Except as previously reported to you or the President, or otherwise stated in the enclosure, we have no reason to believe that any intelligence activities of the National Security Agency during the quarter ending 30 June 2009 were unlawful or contrary to Executive Order or Presidential Directive and thus should have been reported pursuant to Section 1.6(c) of Executive Order 12333.

(U/EQUO) The Inspector General and the General Counsel continue to exercise oversight of Agency activities by inspections, surveys, training, review of directives and guidelines, and advice and counsel. These activities and other data requested by the Board or members of the staff of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) are described in the enclosure.

GEORGE ELLARD

PATRICK J. REYNOLDS Acting General Counsel

(U//FOUO) I concur in the report of the Inspector General and the General Counsel and hereby make it our combined report.

KEITH B. ALEXANDER Lieutenant General, U. S. Army Director, NSA/Chief, CSS

Encl:

Quarterly Report

This document may be declassified and marked "UNCLASSIFIED//For Official Use Only" upon removal of enclosure(s)

(U) Intelligence Activities

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

1. (U//FOUO) Intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-related activities that violate law, regulation, or policy substantiated during the quarter, as well as actions taken as a result of the violations

| This quarter, there were instances in which Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) analysts inadvertently targeted or collected communications to, from, or about U.S. persons who pursuing foreign intelligence tasking. All intercepts and reports have been deleted or as required by United States SIGINT Directive (USSID) SP0018. | ile |
|--|--|
| (U) Unauthorized Targeting | |
| Electronic Mail (e-mail) selector remained tasked after an Attorney General authorizate expired on The NSA analyst detasked all selectors on the authorization expired, but was not aware The unauthorized targeting took place from when Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) Amendments Act (FAA) 705b authorized targeting took place from the content of the cont | before |
| (SUSTUREL TO USA, FVEY) A software update caused a failure in or resulting in collection of between | |
| The old version of the software was reloaded, and was rebuilt to correct the problem. The collection was put the NSA database | |
| (TS//SI//NF) human error caused | |
| The mistake was found and corrected NSA Attorney General-approved minimization procedures do not permit NSA person identifiers as selection terms in repositories of collected communications. It is how much, or even if, unauthorized data was collected, and it is not possible to son the results from valid foreign intelligence targeting results or purge the data by refere U.S. person selector without further Executive Order (E.O.) 12333 violations. | snknown e |
| person were retasked by mistake. The telephone selectors had been detasked when NSA analysts learned of the target's U.S. citizenship, but the detasking analyst I. Consequently, the selectors were retasked | 10.0 |
| intercepts were collected. The selectors were detasked and appropriately man | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20320108

| (b)(1) |
|-----------------------|
| (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| (b)(3)-18 USC 798 |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |

| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| | prevent tasking, and the related collection was purged from the NSA database No reports were issued. |
| | (TS//SI//NF) Human error resulted in the targeting of while he was in the United States between The NSA analyst learned of |
| | detask the selector. On the analyst learned from collateral intelligence that the target had been in the United States since The targeted selector was detasked |
| | on with no collection noted between |
| | (COMSEC) Monitoring operations identified possible criminal activity of child abuse. After the discovery had been reported, the analyst incorrectly reviewed other collection from the U.S. person looking for more evidence of child abuse. The analyst was not authorized to search the COMSEC data for a purpose unrelated to COMSEC. (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 302 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (TS//SI//NF) The target of a tasked selector was in U.S. territorial waters for one day before the selector was removed from tasking. |
| | The two analysts responsible for monitoring the target were on leave when the target entered U.S. territorial waters on the selector was removed from collection on No collection occurred while the vessel was in U.S. waters. No reports were issued. As a result of this process weakness, additional analysts were added to the to prevent future oversights. |
| • | (S//SI/NF) while reviewing skills learned in a database training class, an NSA analyst queried the personal e-mail address he shares with his wife. The analyst explained that he used the familiar e-mail address because a query for target selector data did not produce results, and he was concerned that he was not formatting the query properly. This violation was found by the analyst's auditor No collection resulted from the mistake. The analyst reviewed USSID SP0018 and completed additional database training. |
| 1) 3)-P. L. 86-36 | (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEV) an NSA analyst found that a targeted selector the United States on This was discovered during a Department of Justice directed audit of The selector was detasked on No collection or reporting occurred while the target was in the United States. |
| | (TS//SI/NF) |
| | |
| | (TS//SI//REL TO USA, I'VEY) selector remained on tasking during a target's visit to the United States. |

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN-

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

| | - <u></u> |
|--|--|
| and the second second | The selector was detasked on when an NSA analyst found the mistake. No |
| XLVVIV | queries were made on the selector from and no reports were issued while the target was in the United States. |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | |
| | (TS#SI//NF) During a selector review NSA analysts found |
| | The selector was detasked and related intercepts were purged from |
| | an NSA database the same day. Additionally, NSA analysts found selectors also tasked |
| | since remained on tasking after the target entered the United States in The selectors were detasked, and intercepts were purged from an NSA database on |
| ` | No reporting resulted from the collection. The risk of recurrence has been |
| (b)(1) | reduced through changes in the detasking notification process. No reports were issued on the |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | intercepts: |
| And the second s | (TS//SI//NF) An NSA analyst failed to check a target's U.S. person status prior to tasking. |
| ************************************** | selectors were tasked: The analyst found his |
| | mistake while conducting target research. All selectors were detasked on and the resulting collection was purged from an NSA database. No reports were |
| | issued on the collection. |
| | (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i | |
| (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | |
| | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) NSA analysts found that a valid foreign target's selector was |
| | |
| | The selector was detasked |
| 1 | |
| / | U.S. telephone number. |
| | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i |
| | (U) Database Queries (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | (TS//SI//NF) On ccasions, analysts constructed poor database queries that targeted U.S. |
| | persons, and on of those occasions, the queries returned results from the database. The |
| | returned results from the overly broad or incomplete queries were deleted, and no reports were issued. Procedural errors contributed to of the violations. |
| | issued. Frocedural errors contributed to of the Violations. |
| (b)(1) | (TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst queried what he believed to be a foreign |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | which resulted in collection on a Foreign intelligence indicated that and the analyst queried the selector without |
| | indicated that and the analyst queried the selector without confirming The analyst's auditor found the mistake |
| | |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

| DOCID: | 4165580 (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
|---|---|
| | TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | 2009, and the related collection was purged from the NSA database reporting occurred from the collection. |
| | an NSA Signals Development analyst queried in an effort to obtain foreign intelligence targets. The violation was found by the analyst's auditor |
| | The results obtained were deleted and the analyst was counseled on unauthorized searches. No reporting occurred from the collection. |
| | while pursuing a target related to the an analyst failed to was located in the United States. Found by an auditor the query did not produce results. |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | an NSA analyst queried a list of selectors not related to his current office's mission. He had used the list during a previous assignment in another office. of the selectors were found to be in the United States. No collection resulted from the query. The selector list was destroyed |
| | while pursuing a target related to a an NSA analyst failed to a query. was located in the United States. Found by the analyst's auditor the query and results were deleted from the NSA database. No reports were issued on the query results, and the analyst was counseled on due diligence. |
| | * (TS://SI://REL TO USA, FVEY) an NSA analyst used the |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | qualifiers. the analyst realized her mistake when the query returned approximately results. The results were deleted without review |
| | an NSA analyst queried a target selector after it had been detasked. Unknown to the analyst, the target selector had been detasked when it was the United States. When the analyst learned of the incident, he deleted the resulting collection No reports were issued on the collection. |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | human error resulted in the targeting of U.S. telephone numbers related to a foreign The NSA analyst forgot that the database he queried contained unminimized and unevaluated SIGINT data. No collection resulted from the queries, which were deleted |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

mail address while researching a valid foreign target.

an NSA analyst performed a database query on a U.S. e-

The mistake was found by the analyst's auditor on

| TOP SECRET//COMIT | NT//NOFORN | (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| and the query results were delete additional query training to the analyst. No results were delete additional query training to the analyst. No results (TS//SI//NF) Unintentional dissemination of U. SIGINT product reports during this quarter. I improperly disseminated communications to, from, o pursuing foreign intelligence. All data have been deleted. | .S. identities The NSA In these reports, SIGINT or about U.S. persons of | analysts or entities while |
| SIGINT products were cancelled as NSA persons, organizations, or entities. The reports were proper minimization. | analysts le | earned of the U.S. |
| (U) The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act | t (FISA) | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| (U) Unintentional Access | | |
| (S//SI//NF) On 1 June 2009, DoJ notified the FISA C incident under the | Court (FISC) of a possible | e compliance |
| | | |
| | | |
| (TS//SI//ORCON//REL TO USA, FVEY) | | |
| | | |
| | | |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

| (U) | Unauthorize | d Targeting |
|-----|-------------|-------------|
| | | |

| _ | (TS//SI//NF) Targeting continued on a FISC-authorized target's e-mail selector after |
|---|---|
| | An NSA analyst noticed the lack of collection |
| | on Research revealed the target |
| | The selector was removed from collection on No collection or reporting |
| p ^e | occurred. |
| | |
| - / · · · · · | (TS//SI//NF) An NSA analyst misinterpreted the provisions of a FISC Order and initiated |
| | targeting of cellular telephone numbers that were not specified on the Order. |
| | The |
| | selectors were detasked as the mistakes were identified: |
| . 86-36 | NSA purged intercepts from the NSA database. |
| 00-30 | intercepts from the 1.071 database. |
| | (TS//SI/NF) On NSA learned that a FISC-approved selector had not been removed |
| | from collection when the target |
| | The |
| | |
| | selector was detasked and all related collection was purged from NSA databases |
| | the same day. No reporting resulted from the unauthorized collection. (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| | (b)(3)-18 USC 798 |
| | (U) Database Queries (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst queried non-FISA data |
| | The mistake was found by the analyst's auditor The |
| | unauthorized collection was not reviewed and deleted from the query results |
| | No reporting occurred on the non-FISA data. |
| 200 | (b)(3)-P.I |
| | (TS//SI//NF) NSA analysts queried non-FISA data |
| para di salah | The analysts copied the wrong e-mail selector into their query. |
| | error was found the same day by the analyst's auditor, and |
| | mistake was discovered by the analyst All associated results were deleted on |
| L. 86-36 | when the mistakes were identified. No reports were issued on the non-FISA |
| | data. |
| | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst queried non-FISA data |
| | The analyst did not when crafting |
| | the query. The query results were deleted when the errors were |
| | |
| | identified. No reports were issued on the non-FISA data. |
| | emoliono mari |
| | (TS//SI//NF)-Human error resulted in the targeting of selectors |
| | |
| / | an NSA analyst mistakenly selected an option |
| | The mistake was noticed by the analyst and corrected The results |
| // | |
| | associated with the unauthorized collection were deleted and no reports were |
| | |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

| (TS//SI/ANT | | |
|--|--|--|
| , | | |
| | | |
| | es, the calls were deleted imme | |
| recognition, in accordance with USSID SP0018 gr | uidelines, and no reports were i | ssued. |
| (TS//SI//NF) Business Records Order | | |
| (U) Nothing to report. | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3 (b)(3)-18 USC 7 |
| (TS//SI//NF) Pen Register/Trap and Trace O | rder | / (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 |
| (U) Nothing to report. | | |
| (U) The Protect America Act (PAA) | | _ |
| (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) During a tasking I analysts found that an incorrect | target selector. | NSA |
| The incorrect sel analysts do not know if the incorrect selector is a | lector was detasked valid e-mail address. No collec | NSA tion resulted |
| from the typing error. No reports were issued. | | |
| (U) The FISA Amendments Act (FAA) | | |
| (U) Section 702 | | b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| (U) Tasked under an incorrect FAA Certification | | |
| (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) | an NSA analyst discovered tha | selectors |
| associated with a valid foreign target had been inc | | selectors |
| | as insufficient information to li | nk the targets to |
| Ţ. | he selectors were removed from | |
| the associated collection was purged from the NS. | A database. | |
| (TS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) | an NSA analyst discovered that | a selector had |
| been tasked under two authorities. The target sele | | |
| Certification | Instead of replacir | |
| Certification with the corrected | Certification, the | certification |
| was added. The Certification was removed from | | |
| and collection under the Certification was pur | ged from NSA databases | |
| | | |
| | | <i>#</i> |
| and the state of t | | (h)(4) |
| P.L. 86-36 | | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

| | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
|---|--|
| (U) Detasking Delay | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | target selector when the epts were purged from the was identified. The analyst was |
| (U) Section 704 | (b)(1) |
| (U) U.S. Person Status | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| the analyst mistakenly removed from ta related collection occurred between and United States. Collection databases As a result of this violatic amended analytic training to reinforce tasking and detasking processimplemented | rst instance, when an vas in the United States, sking the same day. No FAA- when the target was in the was purged from NSA on, the mission area edures. The branch also The second instance |
| occurred when another analyst detasked selector | was discovered and |
| terminated and the resulting collection was purged same day. No reporting resulted from either violation. (U) Section 705b (U) Unauthorized targeting | d from the NSA database the |
| was in the United States. The target, authorized for overseas collection of unauthorized targeting. (U) Database Queries | |
| (TS//SI/NF) am NSA analyst constructed a poor | The second secon |
| been using unfamiliar analysis tools as she was pursuing a FAA 70 query and by the analyst's auditor | The analyst had 05b-authorized target. The I the query results were deleted |
| FAA 7956-authorized target. Her mistake was compounded when | |
| preceding the authorization. The query | sue searched timenanies |
| | intercepts were destroyed |
| | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

| | TOD SECRETICOMENT | VALOROBAT / | b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
|--|---|--|--|
| | TOP BECKET//COMINI | "MOFURN |))(3)-P.L. 00-30 |
| | | | |
| | when the violation was identified by the | analyst's auditor. No repo | orts were |
| | issued. | <i>///</i> | |
| | CTOUGHAID NOA solvet wiet-le- | -1 | data antalda |
| | (TS//SI//NF) an NSA analyst mistake the authorization date. The 705b authorization was gran | nly queried a database for | ata outside ata queries for |
| | dates before were not authorized. Oueri | | |
| | conducted to obtain target data between | | No data was |
| | obtained from the query. | / // | To be the state of |
| | • | | |
| | (U) Unauthorized Targeting | // | |
| | | 4. LU. | rus. |
| | | e selectors on collection w | |
| | | NSA analysts were notified | 1 by the |
| | 1 D1 U.S. | person in | 4 |
| | | | |
| | NSA analysts sho | uld have | , mark |
| | No collection occurred between | | |
| | anibarana ba | | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(|
| | (U) Detasking Delays | | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | (TS//SI//NF) Human error caused a detasking | delay, which resulted in co | llection while |
| | the target was in the United States. The NSA analyst le | | at the target |
| | | e analyst detasked the targe | et's telephone |
| | selectors on | | is oversight |
| · promining succession in | | vas purged from NSA datal | bases on |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | No reports were issued from that | i collection. | |
| | (TS//SI/NF) A target selector remained on collection | after an NSA and | alvst learned |
| | that the selector was not associated with the intended ta | | he request to |
| | detask the target selector was overlooked by the analyst | | |
| | was brought to light when the e-mail s | selector, tasked under the F | |
| | Certification. the United Sta | | selector was |
| | detasked on and the data was purged from | | |
| | 2009. The delay between recognition of the violation a | | action occurred |
| . which | because the analyst responsible for the action was on lea | ave. | |
| The state of the s | (TS//SI//NF) Or an NSA analyst learned | that a targeted selector ren | nained tasked |
| and the second s | after the selector | The ana | |
| (b)(1) | responsible for detasking was on leave when the initial | | |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | | when the selector v | |
| The same of the sa | The selector w | as detasked | the data |
| | was purged from NSA databases | No | reports were |
| | issued from the collection. | | |
| | (TC//CI/AIPAIL + U.S 1 | | AT- |
| | (TS//SI/NF) Not all the selectors were detasked United States on tel | anhana numbara accesarata | the |
| | Omicu States on | ephone numbers associate | a with the |
| | | | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| | TOP SECRET//COMINT | //NOFORN | (b)(3)-18 USC 798 |

| | TOD SECRET//COMMIT/AIOFORM | (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
|--|---|---|
| | TOP SECRET//COMINT/NOTOKN | |
| | | |
| | target were detasked because of an analyst's oversight. The selectors we detasked on and resulting collection was purged from NSA databases | ere |
| | No reporting occurred from the unintentional collection. | |
| | | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) NSA analysts learned that a target selector the United States on but the selector was not detasked until | - |
| | The intercepts were purged from NSA databases on | _ |
| | | |
| | (II) Doctruction Dolov | (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | (U) Destruction Delay | |
| • | (TS//SI//NF) U.S. person data was not purged from NSA databases in a timely man | nner. |
| | Collection obtained while an FAA target was in the United States was purged | |
| | after NSA analysts learned that the e-mail selector data was purged | The the |
| | U.S. location and because of staffing shortfalls, a ba | 20.00 |
| | purging occurred. | |
| 475 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5 | No reports were issued. | |
| (b)(1) | (TS//SI//NF) A target tasked under FAA Certification the United Stat | es for |
| (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | before a request to purge NSA databases of collection was obtained. | |
| | the target's e-mail selector The request to purge the data w | /as |
| X. | submitted Purging commenced immediately and was completed and because of staffing shortfalls, a backle | og for |
| | purging occurred; | |
| | No reports were issued. | |
| (b)(1) | (TS//SI//NF) A targeted selector remained on taskingafte | er NSA |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | | when an |
| | NSA analyst learned of the U.S. person status, he submitted a detasking request on the | |
| | Action was not taken on the detasking request. This mistake was compounded by delapurging the data from NSA databases. Data was not purged from | ys in |
| | after NSA analysts learned of the target | t's U.S. |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | person status. | |
| (b)(3)-F.L. 60-36 and | (TS//SI//NE) A delay in purging data from a NSA database occurred after an N | \$4 |
| | analyst learned on that a targeted e-mail selector the United | |
| | After the selector was detasked action to complete purging of the data | |
| | NSA database was not completed until | and |
| | because of staffing shortfalls, a backlog for purging occurred. No reports were issued. | |
| | | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) A foreign target's selector was not detasked on when the | — |
| | authorization expired. The selector analyst on the selector, but failed to detask it. Consequently, | The the |
| | selector when FAA tasking was enacted. | 1 7 |
| | selector was detasked | 7 |
| 2 | | (b)(1) |
| الله)(b)((b)(| (1) 3)-P.L. 86-36 | (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| (~)(| (5) 1 55 55 | |

| | (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | |
| | (U) Dissemination |
| | Order implementation, the review team found that NSA disseminated one SIGINT product report in a manner not authorized by the FISA BR Court Order. The report, containing U.S. telephone numbers, was forwarded to At the request of NSA, purged the data from its |
| | (U) Other (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| | (U) Unauthorized Access (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | was permitted access to unminimized SIGINT and U.S. person data for almost two years with training credentials that had been allowed to lapse by his organization. The security violation was compounded when NSA did not confirm the analyst's training before allowing him access to unminimized SIGINT. Employees with access to unminimized SIGINT data are to successfully complete USSID SP0018 training bi-annually. The USSID SP0018 training was two years out of scope. The analyst's access to unminimized SIGINT data was terminated when the oversight was identified by an Staff Officer. The analyst returned to the |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | NSA technology developers and analysts working with accessed a shared metadata database account from violation of NSA/CSS Manual 130-1, NSA/CSS Operational Information Systems Security Manual. The discovery was made by a database manager who questioned the running time of a query while monitoring the data system. The database contained of the users were not authorized to access. Several procedures were not followed properly, leading to the access of unminimized and unevaluated data, including FISA data, without appropriate database access authorizations or database oversight requirements. First, the project activities had not been verted through the NSA Office of General Counsel. Second, compliance advice from NSA SIGINT Directorate's Oversight and Compliance had not been sought. Third, some employees had not completed training necessary for data handling. Of the employees had not completed training for handling data, and of the had not completed training for handling data. The division chief misunderstood that access to the data was permitted upon submission of access requests. |
| | containing unminimized SIGINT from E.O. 12333 collection to recipients before the slide was reviewed and revised by the Branch. The PowerPoint slide was part of an integrated graphics and multi-media report and did not contain U.S. person information. When the analyst saw that the |

DOCID: 4165580 (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 COMNT/NOFORN (b)(1)(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 text of the report had been released he assumed that the slide could be disseminated. All recipients confirmed deletion of the PowerPoint slide. CTS//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY An NSA incorrectly forwarded a spreadsheet containing FAA data to an NSA who had not been cleared for the FAA-obtained metadata. The linguist mistakenly believed that the had been cleared for FAA data. The access violation was compounded when the did not notice the FAA data handling caveat and further disseminated the spreadsheet to others within the SIGINT Production Chain by e-mail. An analyst recognized the handling caveat and notified the of the improper disseminations. recipients not authorized access to FAA data confirmed deletion of the e-mail. CTS//SL//REL TO USA, EVEY an NSA cryptanalyst showed FAA data The other cryptanalyst was not cleared to another cryptanalyst for FAA data. When the cryptanalyst realized that the content was derived from FAA collection. he removed the data from his computer screen (b)(1)(b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (U) Computer Network Exploitation (CNE) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (TS//SI//REL TO USA, EVE (b)(3)-50 USC 3024 (b)(3)-18 USC 798 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (U) Dissemination (S//SI//REL TO USA, EVE (S//SI//REL TO USA, EVEY SIGINT intercept containing U.S. person information was While reviewing a U.S. analyst noticed U.S. person information

an NSA analyst forwarded an e-mail containing FAA data to recipients. of whom had not completed training required for access to FAA information. Within one hour of recognizing the mistake, the analysts not authorized access to FAA data had deleted the e-mail.

(b)(1)(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(1)

| | (U) Counterintelligence Activities | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | (b)(1) | ICC 2024(i) |
| | (U) Nothing to report. | (b)(3)-50 0 (b)(3)-P.L. | ISC 3024(i) 86-36 |
| | The security of the security o | | |
| | (U) Intelligence-related Activities | | *** |
| | (a) mismigorios volutou violevinos | | The state of the s |
| | (S//SI//NF) To reduce the risk of unauthorized tel | anhany calleation and provent | olations NCA |
| _ | | | |
| Г | instituted a process to give analysts greater and fa | ister misigni into a targers locatio | |
| | | | |
| | | / / | |
| | | / / | No. |
| | | | |
| | | - -// | |
| L | | In the instances when coll | ection |
| | occurred, it was purged from NSA databases. | <u>—</u> | , and the second |
| | | | <u>\</u> |
| | (TSI/SI/NF) | | |
| _ | <u> </u> | | |
| | NSA analysts found e-mail selector | rs | |
| Γ | • | Collection | n occurred in |
| _ | only of the instances and was purged from N | | |
| | | | SU |
| | (C//REL TO USA, FVEY) Although not violation | ns of F.O. 12333 and related dire | ectives |
| | NSA/CSS reports instances in which database | | |
| | no longer required. Once identified, the accesses | | (b)(1) |
| | no longer required. Once identified, the accesses | were terminated. | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| | (TS//SI/NF) | | |
| Ē | (TBHORINE) | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| /1 | | | |
| /[| | | |
| / L | | | |
| / - | | 5.00 | |
| / / | (C//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) While developing | a brief to present to the | |
| /] | in a | | |
| -1 A | containing data not releasable to f | | esearch |
| / / [| revealed that one of the four graphical user interfa- | | / |
| /// | | t1 | he GUI. This_ |
| - / / ' | security matter occurred | and was discovered by an audit | or |
| _// | The GUI authentication access was correct | ted No NOFO | RN datá was |
| - / / | retained by the analyst. | | |
| - 17 | 200 Marie 1989 1 4 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 | 200 | |
| // | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 30 |)24(i) | The state of the s | // /b)(1) |
| (b)(3)-18 USC 79 | | | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | (-)(-) | | (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

2. (U//FOUO) NSA Office of the Inspector General Intelligence Oversight Inspections, Investigations, and Special Studies

(U//FOUO) During this quarter, the OIG reviewed various intelligence activities of the NSA/CSS to determine whether they had been conducted in accordance with statutes, Executive Orders, Attorney General procedures, and Department of Defense and internal directives. With few exceptions, the problems uncovered were routine and showed that operating elements understand the restrictions on NSA/CSS activities.

(U//FOUO) NSA/CSS Texas (NSAT)

(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U//FOUO) Joint IG inspectors examined intelligence oversight (I/O) program management, I/O training, I/O knowledge, and application of I/O. Despite fragmented oversight of I/O training, NSAT operates well in the application of the NSA authorities. The recently appointed I/O Program Manager is well known and has begun to make improvements to the site's I/O processes. The governing Mission Directive does not encompass responsibilities for the oversight of reservists working NSAT missions or delineate Service Cryptologic Components' responsibilities. A highlight of the inspection was the meticulous tracking of sensitive SIGINT database accesses within several mission product lines. The OIG will track corrective actions.

(U/FOUO) Investigation of Alleged Improprieties at NSA Georgia (NSAG)

| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
|-------------------|--|---|
| | (S//REL TO USA, FVEY) In 14 Augu allegation that the | ust 2009, the NSA OIG completed an investigation into an program at NSAG unlawfully intercepted and |
| | processed U.S. person communication | , |
| | | |
| | | |
| | than witness interviews. | igation involved nterviews of the complainant, more and the forensic analysis of almost |
| | | found no targeting of U.S. persons by |
| = | (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| L | | |
| | | G substantiated an allegation that an NSAG analyst, at the |
| | | INT raw traffic database on the selector of a person in the ive of a valid foreign intelligence target. |
| | | |
| | | |
| (b)(3 | 3)-P.L. 86-36 | (1-)(1) |

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

| | (U// FOUO) Misuse of the U.S. SIGINT System (USSS) |
|-----------------|--|
| - | (S//SI//REL TO USA, FVEY) a soldier within a U.S. Army |
| | used the USSS to target his wife, also a soldier stationed He |
| | queried an NSA database for her Following questions from his |
| | auditor, the soldier confessed his actions. After investigation by the unit substantiated the misuse, the soldier received non-judicial punishment. Through a Uniformed Code of Military |
| | Justice Field Grade Article 15, the soldier's rank was reduced from Sergeant to Specialist; he was |
| A second | given 45 days extra duty and forfeited one half month's pay for two months (suspended for 180 |
| | days). The unit has revoked the soldier's access to classified information. |
| 3)-P.L. 86-36 | (b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) |
| | (U) Congressional, IOB, and DNI Notifications (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 |
| 1 | |
| | (TS//SI//NF) NSA notified the Congressional Oversight Committees of a data |
| | retention compliance problem |
| | NSA officials moved |
| / / | immediately to remedy the error and implemented to ensure that additional FISA-derived |
| , in the second | would be sent only to a repository that has the correct age-off |
| Ì | period for FISA data. An update to explain remedial steps NSA will take to bring |
| | the repositories into compliance was forwarded Copies of the notifications are |
| | included as an addendum to this report. |
| | NSA notified the Congressional Oversight Committees of |
| | Constitution and Configuration of Configuration Configurat |
| | journalists' claims of NSA's irresponsibility in executing its mission pursuant to E.O. 12333 or |
| | FISC Orders. In the letters, NSA provided factual data to refute the claims. The notification is enclosed. |
| | Chelosed. |
| _ | (TS//SI//NF) NSA provided a notification and update on the handling of |
| | Business Records and Pen Register/Trap and Trace data obtained under FISC Orders. Reviews |
| | conducted over the past several months have uncovered inadequate attention to internal systems |
| | and systems architecture that resulted in a failure to fully comply with Court imposed procedures |
| | documented in the FISC Order. The notification describes several compliance matters and |
| | remediation actions that have been disclosed to the Court and Congressional Oversight |
| | Committees. The notification and End-to-End Review of Business Records FISA Report is |
| | enclosed. |
| | 3. (U) Substantive Changes to the NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Program |
| | |
| | (U) Nothing to report. |
| | 4. (U) Changes to NSA/CSS published directives or policies concerning intelligence, counterintelligence, or intelligence-related activities and the reason for the changes |

(U) Nothing to report.

TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

5. (U) Procedures governing the activities of Department of Defense (DoD) intelligence components that affect U.S. persons (DoD Directive 5240.1-R, Procedure 15) Inquiries or Matters Related to Intelligence Oversight Programs

(U) Nothing to report.

SECRET//COMINT/NOFORN



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

06-17-09 PO6:49 OUT

MEMORANDUM FOR STAFF DIRECTOR, SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT: (U) Congressional Notification – New York Times article "E-Mail Surveillance Renews Concerns in Congress" – INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

(U) On 17 June 2009 The New York Times published an article by James Risen and Eric Lichtblau entitled "E-Mail Surveillance Renews Concerns in Congress." The article contains many assertions that make it seem as if NSA is broadly irresponsible in executing its mission pursuant to Executive Order or Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) Orders. The opposite is true.

(U//FOUO) As you know, and we have acknowledged, NSA has recently identified and reported compliance issues with FISC orders. However, the article's assertion that NSA has deliberately and illegally collected domestic communications of U.S. persons is patently false. The accusations are far afield of the compliance matters we have experienced which largely relate to deficiencies in the way NSA systems managed data that was lawfully collected. Moreover, the fact that the compliance issues have been identified, reported to the FISC and Congressional overseers, and that steps were taken to remedy them testifies to NSA's commitment to oversight.

- (U) While it is difficult to know exactly what the article's anonymous sources are referring to in regards to each of their claims, given the gross mischaracterizations of the article it is important to state for the record what we know to be true.
 - (S//SI/NF) Early in the article it states that in 2005 a former NSA analyst was trained on a program in which NSA routinely examined large volumes of Americans' email messages without court warrants. Given the lack of context provided relating to this claim, it is difficult to know what is actually alleged to have occurred. However, if this refers to the previously well documented and publicly aired allegations of David Faulk, the allegations are false a conclusion that NSA's IG will soon report out.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN

(U) The article goes on to suggest that NSA is not up to the challenge
of protecting the privacy rights of U.S. person communications that are
encountered as a result of lawful collection of foreign intelligence. To
the contrary, NSA has robust minimization procedures and
mechanisms in place to limit to the greatest possible extent the impact
on privacy rights. These procedures are subject to either approval of
the Attorney General, in relation to collection pursuant to EO 12333,
or to the FISC, in relation to collection pursuant to FISA.

| | (S//SI//NF) Later, the article provides an illustration of a supposed |
|--|---|
| | compliance problem in which NSA's attempts to target 1,000 emails |
|)(1))(3)-50 USC 3024(i) | result in the collection against those 1,000 plus another 1,000 that are |
|)(3)-18 USC 798 | not intended: |
|)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | |
| ************************************** | NGA bear and significant many and affort to |
| ************************************** | NSA has employed significant resources and effort to counter These mitigation efforts involve continuous process improvements to |
| ************************************** | prevent and/or detect at the earliest possible point and the |
| | application of our targeting and collection minimization procedures. |
| | application of our cargeting and confection infinitingation procedures. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | • (U//FOUO) The article also identifies a 30% threshold for the inclusion |
| | of U.S. person information within NSA databases. There is no truth to |
| | this statement, as the existence of U.S. person information in NSA |
| | databases is limited not by a percentage number but by the NSA's |
| | targeting practices that seek foreign intelligence only. |
| | |
| | (S//SI/NF) The additional allegation that NSA has "improperly |
| | accessed the personal email of former President Bill Clinton" is an |
| | inaccurate portrayal of an event that dates from 1992. NSA's records |
| | of the event demonstrate NSA's commitment to oversight and |
| | compliance. |
| | |
| | o (S//SI//NF) On November 3 1992, an analyst wondering how |
| (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 | foreign targets were reacting to Bill Clinton's election typed in s |
| (12)(12) 11 121 121 121 | query The query was made against the |
| | |
| | |
| | Len 1 1 1 |
| John Prince Commencer Comm | There were probably very |
| and the second second | few emails of any kind in there at that time, and there would not |
| in the second | |
| (b)(1) | |
| (b)(3)-50 USC 30 | 24(i) |

SECRET/COMINT/INDIONS

about Bill Clinton. Immediately after the query was entered, "
the co-worker sitting next to the analyst identified that this was
a query on a U.S. person. The analyst immediately realized that
the query was wrong and contrary to authorities. The matter
was quickly reported to NSA leadership and resulted in
notifications outside of NSA pursuant to Executive branch
guidelines. As a result of this incident the analyst's access was
suspended while the analyst attended mandatory re-training.

- (U) Although this activity occurred 17 years ago, we have used it in our oversight training, even in the last several years, as an illustrative example of queries that are inappropriate and must be reported and investigated. This type of query remains as inappropriate today as it was then and will not be tolerated under any circumstances.
- (U) NSA remains committed to providing transparency in these matters a promise made by the DIRNSA. We would be pleased to meet with the Committee to address any concerns that may remain.

JONATHAN E. MILLER Associate Director Legislative Affairs Office

Copy Furnished: Minority Staff Director, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence